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CASE STUDY: ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH AT THE OSAKA IKEGAMI SONE SITE

The author took a part of the 1995 excavation of the Osaka Ikegami Sone central arena and collected carbonised plant remains by the flotation method. Based on the analyses, crop related activities at the central arena were reconstructed and the social meanings are discussed below.

1) The site profile

The Ikegami Sone site, a representative Yayoi large moated settlement, is located on the Osaka Bay coast in the Kinki region (Figure 14). The area was one of the hubs of Yayoi culture, presumably due to its accessibility to Continental Asia and other Yayoi core areas. The settlement appears to have been first constructed in Yayoi Phase I and lasted till Phase IV (Ishigami 1977). Particularly during Phase II – IV, it became one of largest Yayoi moated settlements and at its greatest extent in the Phase IV, the whole sphere occupied 600,000 m² with an enclosed residential area of 110,000 m² (Inui 1996a: 18–20). The population at the time has been estimated at 500 to 750, but some argue that it reached 1,000 or even more (Inui 1998: 138–139). In addition, it has been shown that the Ikegami Sone was a regional centre surrounded by satellite settlements (Figure 15), alongside other central settlements of the area, located approximately every 4 – 5 km (Inui 1998). Archaeological research has suggested that, as the regional centre, this settlement controlled the circulation of raw materials of limited availability for commodities such as stone knives, though it did not reach the level of specialised manufacturer (Hachiya 1983; Sakai 1986). Archaeological patterning also suggests that the Ikegami Sone economy was based on reciprocal exchanges with other settlements, besides farming, and *iidako* octopuses were exported as the local specialty of the Osaka Bay (Kuze 1989).

In the 1995 excavation, the central building of 133 m² (19.4 m × 7.2 m) and the accompanying large well (2 m in diameter) with a roof structure were discovered in the centre of the enclosed residential area (Kambayashi 1996) (Figure 16). The central building has four ridgepole-pillars: two free-standing and two inner-building. In terms of the style and proportion, the building is believed to have been in the raised-floor style with a gable roof 9 m high at the apex, and a raised-floor 4 m high (Inui 1999) (Figure 17).

The excavations revealed that the central building was rebuilt three or four times in the same position within a comparatively short term: from the late Phase III to Phase IV (Akiyama and Kobayashi 1998: 10) (Figure 18; Table 5). Construction of the large well that goes with the building is estimated to have taken place in early Phase IV, based on the typology of pottery shards found in the outer fill of the well frame. This is the same time as when the second central building was constructed, and at that point the well appears to have also been rebuilt in the same position as the central building was rebuilt. This indicates that the central building and the well
Figure 14  The Ikegami Sone Site (1).
Figure 15  The Ikegami Sone Site and the Settlement Complex (From Inui 1996a).

Figure 16  The Ikegami Sone Site (2) (From the Ikegami Sone Site Committee 1996).
Figure 17  Reconstruction of Ikegami Sone Central Building.
Figure 18  The Rebuilding of the Ikegami Sone Central Buildings.  
(From Osaka Heritage Centre 1999)
Table 5 Central Buildings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Scale (m²)</th>
<th>Posts (No.)</th>
<th>Ridgepole pillars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First</td>
<td>IV-2 (late Phase III)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second</td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third</td>
<td></td>
<td>109</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth</td>
<td>IV-3 (early Phase IV)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth</td>
<td></td>
<td>133</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandonment</td>
<td>IV-4 (late Phase IV)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were related facilities (Akiyama and Kobayashi 1998: 10). Eventually both facilities seem to have been abandoned at the same time, namely late Phase IV (Heritage Ikegami Sone Site Preservation Committee 1996: 24–25).

The space for the central building, i.e. the central arena, is demarcated from the pit-house area in south by a natural ‘valley’ (a linear dent) (Akiyama and Kobayashi 1998) (Figure 19). There is no common type of pit house within the central arena, but hottate-pillar buildings and several characteristic types of features have been found (Figure 20), such as pits of unfinished stone knives (Akiyama 1999a) and features related to bronze-moulding (Izumi City Education Committee 1998). In addition, two shards of pottery with pictures were found in the central arena, while two others were found in the residential area. The two found in the residential pit house area show deer (Phase IV) and a dragon (Phase V), whereas the two in the central arena both show buildings (Inui and Akiyama 1996) (Figure 21). Within the central arena, both shards were associated in particular with the central building spatially: one was found 20m south of the central building (Inui and Akiyama 1996) and the other in a pit of the central building (Akiyama et al. 1997: 31). The former picture (Figure 21: Building 1) shows a raised-floor building constructed of at least nine posts on one side and a gable roof. The latter (Figure 21: Building 2) shows a raised-floor building constructed with four-posts on one side and a gable roof, and special accessories: a balcony, roof decorations and a ladder with a handrail. These images are unique and are thought to depict the existing buildings of the Ikegami Sone central arena (Akiyama et al. 1997: 36; Inui 1999: 43). The shard with a picture of 4-post building (Building 2) is dated at early Phase IV based on the typology. The pit in which this shard was found is estimated to be older than the post holes of the existing central building. Thus, it is thought that the shard is from the time just prior to construction of this building (Akiyama et al. 1997: 40). On the other hand, the other shard (Building 1) is also dated Phase IV, and judging by the scale and style of the depicted building, it is assumed to be a representation of the existing central building (Inui 1999: 43). Their apparent association with the central building in Ikegami Sone provides substantial support for the argument that there is a relationship between the building depicted on the pottery and the actual central building.
Figure 19  The Ikegami Sone ‘Valleys’.
(From Akiyama and Kobayashi 1998)
Excavated Area of the Central Arena

Figure 20 The Ikegami Sone Central Arena.
2) Sampling area and strategy

In the 1995 excavation, 750 m² of the central arena was excavated. The excavated area was divided into two sections: Area I and Area II (Figure 22). Area I included the central building and a large well, and also a characteristic pit containing a large pottery jar in an upright position with the edge and bottom removed. The function of this pit as ritualistic or as a small well has been a topic of discussion (Akiyama 1999b; Inui 1999). In addition, previous excavations revealed a group of three pits east of the large well, that contained a large amount of Sanukite flakes which had been prepared for making stone knives (Figure 23); a stone axe and a polishing stone; and a whetstone—all of which comprise the whole set of stone knife making components (Inui 1999) (Figure 20). As mentioned above, the archaeological evidence has suggested that the Ikegami Sone community did not reach the level of specialized manufacturer of tools. Instead, these features probably indicate a control centre for circulating rare materials over the region, in a state ready for the making of stone knives (cf. Hachiya 1983; Sakai 1986).

Area II includes three groups of features. Two of those groups are assemblies of a small pit house (3.6 m × 2.4 m) (not believed to have been for residential use due
Figure 22  Sampling Areas in the Ikegami Sone Central Arena.
to its extremely small size) and pits containing large pottery jars in the same state as that in Area I. The other group, besides also having a pit with a large pottery jar, included a square-ish pit (2.5 m × 2 m × 4 m in depth) containing more than 40 ceramic octopus traps (Figure 24). The size of those traps indicates that they were for catching *iidako* octopus (Kuze 1989), which are presumed to have been the special export of Ikegami Sone. Area II also included part of a large *hottate*-pillar

**Figure 23** Yayoi Stone Knife.

**Figure 24** Ikegami Sone Octopus Traps (From Osaka Heritage Centre 1979).
Figure 25  The Ikegami Sone Distribution of Features and Artifacts Related to Bronze Moulding (From the Izumi City Education Committee 1998).
building in the east which may have formed a complex with the central building (Inui 1997a). In general, both areas contained numerous pits besides those specific features.

It has also been inferred that metal moulding was carried out in the central area, based on finds of burnt soil, pottery deformed by heat, and the remains of moulds. The metal moulding finds of Ikegami Sone were indeed concentrated within the central arena (Izumi City Education Committee 1998) (Figure 25). Questions have been raised as to whether the moulded material was bronze or iron. Judging by the fact that all the moulds found thus far are for dotaku bronze bells, bronze production is considered more likely (Arii et al. 1999: 149).

Soil samples for the archaeobotanical analyses were mainly collected from the Yayoi Phase IV layer, which was the final period of the existence of the central building. Collection was made from all the special features shown above as well as from as many pits, postholes and ditches as were available to evenly cover all the areas. The sample size was 10 to 15 litres each, and a total of 114 samples from Area I and 72 samples from Area II were collected.

A flotation machine with a 0.25 mm sieve (Figure 26) was applied to extract carbonised plant remains. Identification of the plant remains was carried out in the George Pitt-Rivers Laboratory, McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research.

![Figure 26](image-url)  
**Figure 26**  The Flotation Machine Used in This Research.
3) The data analyses

An overview of the central arena and plant-related activities

The general character of the plant remains was first compared between Area I and II. Table 6 presents both the total count and proportion of the recovered seed and chaff remains in those areas. The patterning in the proportion is similar in Areas I and II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Seeds</th>
<th>Chaff</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area I</td>
<td>3793</td>
<td>1507</td>
<td>5300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area II</td>
<td>1898</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>2425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 Absolute Count and Relative Abundance of All Seed and Chaff Remains.

Figure 27a  SEM photos of a rice grain and rice glume bases.

Figure 27b  Rice Glume Base.
and II: seeds occur approximately three times as frequently as chaff. Studies show that chaff is generally less likely to be preserved than grains (Boardman and Jones 1990) so this pattern may not directly reflect the actual proportion at the time of deposition. Approximately 97% of the chaff was identified as rice glume base (Figures 27a, 27b), and a small number of miscellaneous rachises were also recovered. However, no lower stem was found. The rice glume base was also the only identified spikelet type of chaff recovered in the Ikegami Sone central arena, though a certain number of millet grains were also recovered. Among the seeds, weeds (plants not identified as food plants) slightly outnumbered food plants in both areas. Rice grains dominate the food plants in both Area I and II accounting for approximately 90%.

The low number of the lower plant parts, such as stems and culm nodes, cannot be explained as serving as animal fodder. The single possible domesticated animal known from Yayoi contexts is the pig, which is typically fed the soft parts of plants such as the husks rather than the stem parts (Hosoya 2007). Thus, the dominance of the upper plant parts in these contexts probably represents the original composition of the plant remains. This pattern appears to indicate that the Yayoi harvesting methods involved panicle-cutting (Hosoya 2007; Thompson 1996). The likely explanation for the overwhelming dominance of rice both among chaff and food plant grains is that rice was used more intensively than other food plants in the activities of the Ikegami Sone central arena. The proportional dominance is too high to be simply explained as a preservation bias.

More about the nature of the plant-related activities in the Ikegami Sone central arena was interpreted from analyses of the ratio of food plant seed, glume bases and weed seeds, using the method designed by Stevens (1996) to observe the distribution of typical by-products from different stages of crop processing (Figures 28, 29). Although this method was designed for wheat processing, it is applicable to the present analyses which focus on rice, because the ethnographic evidence (Hosoya 2007; Thompson 1996) indicates that the basic processing sequences are common to both wheat and rice. The ratio of grains to weed seeds (Figure 28) and large weed seeds to small weed seeds (Figure 29) indicate typical types of crop processing carried out at the sampled space. Given that rice was obviously the main crop in the Ikegami Sone central arena, the analyses focus on the rice processing sequence, and the classification of weed seeds was made according to the size of the rice grains. The size of the rice grains recovered from the Ikegami Sone central arena was almost uniform: 4.5 mm long and 2.5 – 3.0 mm width. This size fits Nagamatsu’s standard (1977: 326) for the japonica type. Accordingly, weed seeds smaller than 2 mm are classified as ‘small weed seeds’ and those larger than 2 mm are as ‘large weed seeds’. Among the seeds collected, the former include Chenopodiaceae and Polygonaceae and the latter include Poaceae and Rubiaceae. Referring to Thompson’s (1996) ethnographic research on rice processing in Thailand, small weed seeds are likely to represent coarse sieving, whereas large weed seeds represent fine sieving or pre-cooking hand sorting. The logged ratio of glumes to
grains indicates whether the crops were husked and the crop dehusking took place at the area. In the analyses, samples with less than 15 grains, glume bases or weed seeds were omitted to avoid the over-representation of a small number of items (cf. Stevens 1996). Different types of features, namely pits, postholes and wells, are indicated in the figures.

The results indicate that the general distribution of plant remains is similar in Areas I and II. First, in both areas, the measurement of the grain-weed in proportion

Figure 28  The Distribution of Plant Remains in the Ikegami Sone Central Arena (1).
to the grain-glume ratio (Figure 28) indicate that weeds are typically more common than grains, and grains are more common than glumes. The proportion of grains appears slightly higher in Area II than in Area I. It is notable, however, that although not the majority, there are samples with a markedly high proportion of glumes to grains. Considering chaff’s susceptibility to breakage, these samples probably contained a relatively large number of glumes in their original state. Second, the large-small weed seed proportion (Figure 29) showed a markedly higher proportion

![Figure 29](image-url)  
*Figure 29* The Distribution of Plant Remains in the Ikegami Sone Central Arena (2).
of small weed seeds.

The high proportion of small weed seeds provides evidence that these samples represent the by-products of coarse sieving, which happens just after the dehusking sequence. This kind of sieving is also likely to produce typical dehusking by-products, i.e. glumes and broken grains (Hosoya 2007), and indeed, as shown above, the Ikegami Sone samples include considerably large numbers of rice glumes. Given that dehusking typically occurs just before consumption (Hosoya 2007; Thompson 1996), it is probable that the central arena plant remains represent stored grains rather than crops brought directly from the harvest. It is thus inferred here that the Ikegami Sone central arena was a venue exclusively for the post-storage stages of the crop processing sequence.

**Setting the micro contexts**

For detailed spatial analyses in order to link plant-related activities with specific features of the Ikegami Sone central arena, Areas I and II are further classified into several micro contexts. Table 7 presents the classification, which was basically made on spatial position; however, several micro contexts: I-1, I-5 and II-6 were divided for their characters of features. I-1 is a posthole of the central building, and the bases of the posts were found remaining within those holes. Postholes are filled by posts while the building is in use, consequently the plant material in those contexts was likely deposited either before or after the use of the building. In the Ikegami Sone case, the posts were not pulled out, so it is likely that the plant remains were deposited at the time that the building was constructed. Thus, in this context, the plant remains probably represent activities which occurred in the area before the construction of the existing central building. On the other hand, in the I-5 and II-6, *i.e.* the large well and the octopus pits/pits of large jars, the plant remains were presumed to represent activities during or after the abandonment of the central arena. The large well and pits containing large jars, which are also interpreted as small wells, are likely to have been regularly cleaned during use to fulfil the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7</th>
<th>Chronology of the Ikegami Sone Central Arena Micro Contexts.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Building 4</td>
<td>I-1 (Central Building post holes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Building 5</td>
<td>Phase IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-2 (Central Building pits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-3 (pits: Area I north)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-4 (pits: Area I south)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II-1 (pits: Area II northwest)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II-2 (pits: octopus trap pit area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II-3 (pits: Area II south)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II-4 (pits: ‘north-south’ buildings south)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II-5 (ditch)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandonment</td>
<td>I-5 (Large well 1 &amp; pottery pit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II-6 (Octopus trap pit &amp; pottery pit)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
function of a the well. In the case of the large well, analyses of the fill showed the high possibility of regular cleaning. Thus the deposit of the plant remains is likely to have occurred at the time of the abandonment of the features rather than during their use. In the case of the octopus trap pit, the deposit also appears to have occurred in the time of abandonment of the area, since the octopus traps in the pit are interpreted as having been left buried when the area was no longer used. In micro contexts other than those three contexts, the plant remains are presumed to represent activities during the use of the central arena with the existing central building.

**A comparison of the micro contexts (1)**

A comparison among micro contexts was made using the Jones’ (1985) methodology with triangle diagrams to show the proportions of selected components of plant remains. First, the proportion of food plant seeds, weed seeds and chaff was calculated and analysed (Figure 30). Some distinct patterns are evident in Area I, while no distinct patterning was seen in Area II. In Context I-1 and I-2, i.e. the central building post holes and associated pits, notably high concentrations of chaff that outnumbered the food plant seeds was found. In the sampled area, 34% of all recovered chaff was from these contexts. As shown above, most of the chaff has a rice glume base. In Context I-4 and I-5, i.e. the southern part of the central building and the large well, the numbers of plant remains are large but the proportions of the food plant seeds, chaff and weeds are almost even. In more detailed analyses,

![Figure 30](Image)

**Figure 30** Ikegami Sone Central Arena Micro Contexts.
however, these two contexts show a different character. Namely, while Context I-4 includes some spots of chaff concentration, Context I-5 shows less of that, and its food plant remains are dominated by millet which can be distinguished from the generally rice-dominated central arena context. Not only does Area II fail to show distinct patterning, but the amount of plant remains per sample is smaller than that in Area I overall, except for the comparatively plant-rich Context II-3: in the pits in the south part of the area the major plant component is rice seed.

**A comparison of the micro contexts (2)**

Rice grains and different sizes of weed seeds were used (Figure 31) in the second comparative analysis of micro contexts. For the analysis, the ratio of large weed seeds and small weed seeds and rice grains was examined; the classification criteria were the same as that shown in the previous section. The classification is concerned with reconstruction of sieving activities as discussed above.

The results show that in general, in both Areas I and II, the proportion of rice grains and small weed seeds is consistently large compared to the small proportion of large weed seeds. One exception is in Context I-5: the large well, where the proportion of large weed seeds is large. In addition, in Context I-1: the postholes of

![Figure 31](image-url)

**Figure 31** Food Plant Seed/Chaff/Weed Seed Ratio in the Ikegami Sone Central Arena.
the central building, where the proportion of rice grains is comparatively small.

**Activities at the Ikegami Sone central arena**

Plant-related activities in the central arena, particularly in connection with the central building are discussed based on the comparative analyses of the micro context plant remains above. The concentration of chaff, mainly comprised of rice glume bases, in the micro contexts associated with the central building is likely to indicate that the reconstructed post-storage crop processing activities in the Ikegami Sone central arena, *i.e.* rice dehusking and the ensuing sieving/winnowing were most intensively associated with the central building. Studies show that when plant by-products are transferred from an activity area to other places for secondary use, such as fuel or disposal, the light chaff may become damaged by the movement due to its brittleness and hence be underrepresented at depositions (Fuller 1999). Therefore, the best explanation is that the central building was the place for *in situ* disposal of the by-products from rice dehusking and the subsequent winnowing/sieving. A high concentration of rice glume phytolith in the central building (Toyama 1996) strongly supports this hypothesis.

Furthermore, it was observed that not only Context I-2, the central building pits, but also Context I-1, the plant remains from the central building post holes, show the same tendency of *in situ* rice dehusking – sieving/winnowing by-products, namely high proportions of rice glume bases and small weed seeds. As shown above, the plant remains from the post holes are likely to represent activities that took place prior to the construction of the existing central building. Through archaeology we know that the central building was rebuilt three or four times in the same position, so this archaeobotanical patterning suggests that the dehusking activities were associated with the previous buildings as well as the existing central building. On the other hand, Context I-5’s large well shows distinctively different patterning from other Area I micro contexts; there is a lower chaff concentration and fewer less of rice remains and small weed seeds. As already explained, the well context is likely to indicate activities at or after abandonment of the central building. Therefore, the different tendency in plant remains from the Context I-5 probably show that the rice dehusking – sieving/winnowing activities were distinctly associated with the central building, and not carried out after the abandonment of the building.

The context I-5 plant remains indicate another aspect of activities at the central building. Millet, which is the second most common food plant in the central arena, was recovered in high proportions in Context I-5. Given that this context is likely to indicate activities that took place after the abandonment of the area, millet was found especially among the debris deposited after the abandonment of the central building. One possible explanation for this pattern is that, although millet was not intensively processed in the central arena, it was associated with Area I and carbonised in abandonment of the building. The most likely scenario is that the millet was stored in the central building and the spillage (cf. Hirose 1998a: 75) and/or spoilt grains (cf. Thompson 1996: 140) were swept up and burnt at the end of
each phase of the central building. This indicates that the Ikegami Sone central building, which is supposed to have been in the Kinki style raised-floor granary shape, indeed had the functions of a granary. Considering that the processed rice was probably stored as grains rather than as crops brought directly from the harvest, there is the high possibility that rice ears were also regularly stored in the central building.

In Area II, the patterning of the plant remains is generally not so clear as in Area I, and thus different routes of plant carbonisation need to be considered between these areas. Although Area II generally shares general tendency of by-products from the series of dehusking activities, such as a high proportion of small weed seeds, the amount of plant remains is much lower than Area I and is the lack of the chaff in particular. This may show that Area II plant remains represent the secondary disposal of the crop processing carried out in Area I. Secondary disposal may simply encompass the removal of the by-products from the activity area for cleaning, and/or a purposeful transferring for secondary use, such as fuel. Context II-3, the pits in the south part of Area II, which produced the richest plant assemblage among the Area II contexts, is near what was apparently a metal moulding area (Figure 25), a space which likely experienced the frequent use of fire. Thus, the use of the plant by-products as fuel is a reasonable assumption particularly in this context. If the Area II plant remains, at least in Context II-3, represent plants burnt as a fuel, the underrepresentation of light chaff compared to grains can be explained by the fact that light chaff easily burns to ash, in addition to its expected loss by transferring. This assumption also suggests that the intensive rice processing activities at the central building and the manufacturing activities in Area II had a substantial connection.

4) Interpretation of the social role of the Ikegami Sone central arena

The above archaeobotanical reconstruction of the activities of the Ikegami Sone central arena indicates that the central building served as a part of the agricultural cycle. The patterning in the plant remains from the central arena indicates that dehusking and the subsequent sieving/winnowing were distinctly associated with the central building. While these activities are a regular part of the crop processing routine, the above analyses of Yayoi iconography raises the possibility that the dehusking stage served as a metonymic representation of the agricultural cycle. Thus, the central building may have been involved in the agricultural cycle both practically and symbolically. It was also inferred from the iconography that during Yayoi Phase III–IV the metonymic representation was transferred from the dehusking activity to a raised-floor building. This raised-floor building may have indicated the central building, as already discussed. Accordingly, the present archaeobotanical reconstruction suggests not only a substantial association between the central building with the crop dehusking stage but also that the central building served as a material metaphor for and a dynamic element in the agricultural cycle. The fact that the central building was a metaphor for the dynamic agricultural cycle
probably highlighted the significance of both the raised-floor granary and rice, as primary elements of the middle-late Yayoi storage system, having the practical and symbolic aspects. From this point of view, the dehusking of rice can be inferred to have become imbued with ceremonial significance in tandem with its role within the crop processing activity routine.

Turning to the results of archaeological research at the Ikegami Sone central arena shown above, several types of manufacture-emphasised activities were reconstructed. From the artefactual evidence, the activities identified include stone-knife material circulation, octopus fishing and dotaku bronze bell casting. The first two are thought to have served inter-community material exchanges, and the third was used in community rituals. All the activities that are reconstructed here are explained as having a community basis, which supports the working hypothesis that the central building context functioned to emphasise community unity.

Given that the archaeobotanical analyses of this context show that a series of post-storage type crop-processing activities were carried out in the central building, it is suggested that the central building was routinely used in the agricultural sequence. It can indicate that community unity, which is attributed to the central arena, was reinforced through these repetitive activities. At the same time, the influential role of the community leader was also emphasised through those activities as it is likely that the leader organised the community-based activities.

In summary, the central arena appears to have been a demarcated space where the community leader was wholly influential. At the same time, the space represented a place where community members regularly came together as part of their day-to-day lives. On this basis, activities within the central arena most likely formed a coherent field of discourse within which the social code, which emphasised centralised power and communality, was routinely reproduced and reinforced.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: THE CENTRAL BUILDING AND THE EMERGENCE OF A POWERFUL LEADER

The Ikegami Sone illustrates an example of the association with the central building/arena of the manufacturing of commodities for exchange and metal moulding activities. As Akiyama (1999a) argued, manufacturing activities are likely to have been ‘additional attribution’ within the central arena, i.e. these activities were not exclusively assigned to this space, but were also conducted in other settlement spaces. The character of the central arena is thus shown to encompass the co-existence of these ‘additional’ activities alongside activities that were deemed to this context, i.e. bronze casting. This pattern suggests that what was unique for this space was not the types of activities themselves but the underlying discourse which unified those activities. The fact that the manufacturing of goods for exchange was a part of the central building discourse suggests that the common underlying code was community unity. This Ikegami Sone pattern cannot be simply generalised to other
Kinki cases. Nevertheless, judging by the factors common to the Kinki central building/arena, namely 1) being the Yayoi’s first archaeologically defined facility shared by a community and 2) its association with routine types of activities as well as exclusive ones, a similar discourse appears to have been common among the Kinki Yayoi settlements.

On this basis, it is significant that the post-storage crop processing sequence was reconstructed at the Ikegami Sone central arena. This suggests that the central building/arena discourse was situated within the agricultural cycle. Accordingly, over time the discourse likely gained regularity. As people routinely returned to this space and repeated the same sequence of activities of the agricultural cycle, probably the code of the discourse, namely the community unity, was constantly ‘brought into being’ (Barrett 1988: 7). The central building/arena thus came to represent both a control over time and the permanent assurance of community reproduction. Thomas (1988) discusses this type of discerned space:

> Once created, these items (and presumably others lost to archaeology) serve as constant reminders, reproducing ideas by their involvement either in domestic transactions or in structuring the individual’s conception of the landscape. (Thomas 1988: 65)

Furthermore, through the agricultural cycle, the central building/arena discourse may have influenced other stages of the cycle.

> … the same material components may be shared by a number of fields, and the symbolic components of one field may be stored and transformed into the symbolic components of another. (Barrett 1988: 11)

With the evolution of a central building/arena discourse as a part of the agricultural cycle, a code of community unity may have been transferred onto the production area through the shared component: rice grains. As this kind of transference of code proceeded, eventually the whole process of agricultural production came to be based on the concept of community unity.

The association between the central building/arena and the agricultural cycle is significant for understanding the transformation of the nature of the community leader. Assuming that the central building/arena activities were based on a code of community unity, the code is likely to have been emphasised and influenced by the community’s representative, the leader. In the Ikegami Sone case, both the manufacturing of goods for exchange and dotaku bronze bell casting likely needed to be organised as a joint effort of the whole community and may have required a representative organiser. As this space was associated with ‘regularity’ through the agricultural cycle, a leader would routinely influence the community members’ daily lives. Indeed, the iconography found on Yayoi artefacts suggests that the symbolism originally attached to the crop processing routine, namely specific ‘activities’, was
later transferred onto the central building/arena: a specific ‘space’. Through the regular use of this space, the symbolism would have been constantly brought into being. The community leader, as attached to the central building/arena space and regularly joining in the activities, would become visibly associated with the symbolism. Over time, this probably resulted in community members’ expectations for the leader to continuously materialise the symbolism, eventually in the form of his/her individual attributes.

Moreover, as the code of community unity came to encompass the other stages of the agricultural production, that code would have provided the basis for a single type of authority and social relations which eventually pervaded all those stages. This development would result in a centralised organisation of the entire agricultural cycle, and then further develop to encompass the whole community operation, which was based on the agricultural production. Here, the association between the community leader, ideological and social symbolism and the pervasive central organisation of labour would be established within the central building/arena. This association would then be strengthened in the following late Yayoi – Kofun periods.

Once a monument has been built in a particular space, that space can never again be interpreted in the same way as before. (Thomas 1992: 30)

As Thomas states, an architectural structure can make a qualitative difference in a space. After the construction, the discourse of the space is renewed and reinforced within that new situation. Pred (1985), for example, described an ethnohistorical case in an 18th–19th century Swedish village in which the day-to-day power relations of the community members were significantly affected by changes to the village plan due to commercialisation and population growth:

... the spatially-transformed village scene must have greatly influenced the sense of place, structure of feeling and other elements of consciousness held by residents by breaking down the grammar of taken-for-granted codes. (Pred 1985: 359)

It is important to note that the ‘taken-for-granted’ was itself transformed as a result of the transformed structure of the spatial plan. The subsequent social structuration was constructed following this new ‘taken-for-granted’ code, and the social transformation after the emergence of the central building/arena is explicable on this basis. The centralised power, the symbolism attached to a specific time and space, and certain regular activities were probably bound at the central building/arena as a coherent discourse. The central building served as a reminder and a symbol of this discourse. Reproducing the code through the routine activities in this space likely led to the code being accepted by the community as ‘taken-for-granted’. Community members probably began to perceive all the factors in the central building/arena as inseparably bound. Consequently, it would be natural that the community leader would come to be associated with the symbolism and the ruling
position. This means that there was the potential for the leader become established as both having a sacred existence as well as being an absolute ruler.

The subsequent social structuration towards the Kofun period is thought to have been established on the basis of this newly formed ‘taken-for-granted’ code. The first visible transformation of the central building/arena towards the end of the Yayoi is the spatial seclusion of this building from other parts of the residential area. This transformation likely indicates a change in the ‘taken-for-granted’ code. As shown above, the central building/arena originally appears as an inseparable part of the residential area, since its characteristic discourse was based on routine-type activities. Therefore, the later seclusion of the central building/arena during the late Yayoi to Kofun periods suggests that this space no longer needed to be physically connected to other parts of the community. As the ‘central building/arena’ discourse became accepted as ‘taken-for-granted’, it was no longer necessary to repeat this discourse within community’s routine. As this new stage, it was probably equally taken for granted that the central arena space was secluded for its ‘inherent’ specialty, rather than being a part of the settlement. By this time, the community leader was likely to be a symbolically distinct person, and thus isolated from other community members, in the same way that the central building/arena was secluded from other settlement spaces. Through this process of social structuration, the secluded central building/arena and the community leader are thought to have come to belong to each other. This pattern shows a complete change in the social meaning of the central building/arena, having shifted from a shared facility of the community to an exclusive space belonging to a distinct individual. This transformation appears to be the consequence of a long and sustained process of social structuration.

The second visible transformation of the central building/arena is that it began to be used as a distinct ‘ritualistic space’, indicating a shift towards the institutionalisation of rituals. After the central arena was secluded from other parts of the residential area, the symbolic aspects of activities in this space were probably formalised by the centralised leadership. Certainly, new developments in the pottery iconography in the end of Yayoi include the replacement of the pictures with symbols, which occurred in tandem with the abandonment of the *dotaku* bronze bells. These elements suggest that a centralised body and standardised ceremonial activities existed at this time. This second transformation seems to have been the basis for a new code for this ex-central building/arena: the centre of political organisation with artificially separated ‘ritualistic’ and ‘non-ritualistic’ spheres. While the same type of activities as the central building/arena, such as crop storage and metal moulding, were attached to the king’s residence, the underlying code was transformed. It is thus feasible that this code then would be transferred to other parts of the society. By the middle Kofun period, specified ‘ritual’ areas also appeared within other areas of the settlement and within houses. Such ritual areas are recognised from characteristic signs of seclusion such as fencing and paving (Hamamatsu City Council Education Committee 1977: 88–91; Ishino 1991: 13) and also from specific types of associated artefacts (Hamamatsu City Council Education Committee 1977: 88–91; Ishino 1991: 13).
Committee 1987: 32; Ishino 1991: 11–13). These new phenomena suggest that
institutionalised ceremonies became a common part of the day-to-day life, showing
the pervasive influence of centralised organisation. Moreover, the same types of
artefacts as those associated with the ‘ritual’ areas have been found in association
with Kofun king’s burial mounds (Japan Association for Quaternary Research 1998:
184). This seems to indicate that the ceremonial factors themselves came to
represent the attributes of the king’s self even apart from the king’s residential space.
Thus, the Kofun kings became absolute rulers associated with sacredness as a
product of social structuration mediated by the central building/arena.
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NOTES

1) In this paper, five phases are used for the Yayoi period. Phase I: Early Yayoi, Phases II–IV:
Middle Yayoi, and Phase V: Late Yayoi.
2) The term for indicating this type of special building varies in Japanese archaeological writings
and includes ‘central building’ (chusu tatemono), ‘large building’ (ohgata tatemono) and
‘shrine’ (saiden). In this paper, the term ‘central building’ is used because it can be
independent of the actual size of the building which may not be particularly ‘large’, or of an a
priori ‘ritualistic’ interpretation, but can indicate the typical central position of the building in
settlements.
3) The ‘hotate-pillar’ indicates a pillar which is directly embedded or sunk into the ground,
without a base stone. Although typical pit house posts are constructed in this way also, the
‘hotate-pillar building’ in Japanese archaeological terms specifically indicates a building with
a floor above the ground (Miyamoto 1991: 33). A building with an above-ground floor but
which does not use hotate-pillars is called a surface building (heichi-shiki jyukyou), to make
the distinction (ibid.). It is generally accepted that in prehistoric Japanese contexts the hotate-
pillar building represents a building that is in some way ‘prestigious’ (Miyamoto 1996: 172).
4) It may be an over simplification to assume that all the Kyushu and Kinki/Chugoku/Shikoku
cultural factors just converged into Kofun society, but the transformation sequences need to be
reconstructed individually in each context (cf. Shimizu 1995: 77). However, the present
discussion limits the scope to the underlying code of the central building; in terms of this code,
the central building examples of all the districts are considered to have developed into the
Kofun king’s residence in one course.
5) The term ‘institution’ used in this paper means something different from that used in some
other archaeological writings such as Hudson and Kaner (1992: 115) and Tilley (1984). In
those writings, the term ‘institutional ritual’ is used to indicate public rituals, and is the
antonym of the domestic ritual. However, in this paper, ‘institutional ritual’ indicates
formalised rituals, without indicating the type of ritual body. The author takes the point of
view that all archaeologically recognisable rituals are formalised, and are thus basically
‘institutional’.

6) There is also the assumption that the icon can be interpreted as depicting a weaving scene

7) The possibility has been raised that an icon on the Kamika 4 bell (AD2C) represents a rice-
planting scene, but referring to the depiction styles of other icons, it is more likely that the
icon represents animals rather than humans (Sahara and Harunari 1997: 154).

8) Sanukite is one common type of lithic tool material in Japanese prehistoric contexts, and is
produced along the Nijo Volcano line throughout the Kinki, Shikoku and Kyushu districts
(Kikuchi 1979).

9) The value is logged to avoid over-representation of small-volume samples against large-
volume samples.
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